

COOLEY LLP  
TRAVIS LEBLANC (251097)  
(tleblanc@cooley.com)  
KRISTINE A. FORDERER (278745)  
(kforderer@cooley.com)  
ANUPAM DHILLON (324746)  
(adhillon@cooley.com)  
THILINI L. CHANDRASEKERA (333672)  
(tchandrasedkera@cooley.com)  
3 Embarcadero Center, 20th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: +1 415 693 2000  
Facsimile: +1 415 693 2222

TIANA DEMAS (*pro hac vice*)  
(tdemas@cooley.com)  
110 N. Wacker Drive, 42nd Floor  
Chicago, IL 60606  
Telephone: +1 312 881 6500  
Facsimile: +1 312 881 6598

Attorneys for Defendant  
GOOGLE LLC

BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.  
Neal J. Deckant (322946)  
1990 North California Blvd., Suite 940  
Walnut Creek, CA 94596  
Telephone: (925) 300-4455  
Facsimile: (925) 407-2700  
Email: ndeckant@bursor.com

Attorney for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

MISAEAL AMBRIZ, JIMMY NIMMO,  
CHRISTOPHER BISSONNETTE, AHMAD  
MEHDIPOUR, EUGENE ERLIKH, JAMES  
FOX, PETER SAMISH, and CHRISTOPHER  
BARULICH, individually and on behalf of all  
other persons similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:23-cv-05437-RFL

**STIPULATED PROTOCOL RE: DISCOVERY  
OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED  
INFORMATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER**

1 **1. PURPOSE**

2 This Order will govern discovery of electronically stored information (“ESI”) in this case  
3 as a supplement to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court’s Guidelines for the Discovery  
4 of Electronically Stored Information, and any other applicable orders and rules.

5 **2. COOPERATION**

6 Plaintiffs Misael Ambriz, Jimmy Nimmo, Christopher Bissonnette, Ahmad Mehdipour,  
7 Eugene Erlikh, James Fox, Peter Samish, and Christopher Barulich (“Plaintiffs”), and Google LLC  
8 (“Google”) (Plaintiffs and Google, together, the “Parties”) are aware of the importance the Court  
9 places on cooperation and commit to cooperate in good faith throughout the matter consistent with  
10 this Court’s Guidelines for the Discovery of ESI.

11 **3. PROPORTIONALITY**

12 The Parties are expected to use reasonable, good faith and proportional efforts to preserve,  
13 identify and produce relevant information consistent with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure  
14 26(b)(1).<sup>1</sup> This includes identifying appropriate limits to discovery, including limits on custodians,  
15 identification of relevant subject matter, time periods for discovery and other parameters to limit  
16 and guide preservation and discovery issues. A party’s meaningful compliance with this order and  
17 efforts to promote efficiency and reduce costs will be considered in cost-shifting determinations.

18 **4. COST-SHIFTING**

19 As in all cases, costs may be shifted for disproportionate ESI production requests pursuant  
20 to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26. Likewise, a party’s nonresponsive or dilatory discovery  
21 tactics are cost-shifting considerations.

22 **5. LIAISON**

23 The Parties have identified liaisons to each other who are and will be knowledgeable about  
24 and responsible for discussing their respective ESI. Each e-discovery liaison will be, or have access  
25 to those who are, knowledgeable about the technical aspects of e-discovery, including the location,  
26 nature, accessibility, format, collection, search methodologies, and production of ESI in this matter.

27  
28 <sup>1</sup> Information can originate in any form, including ESI and paper, and is not limited to information  
created or stored electronically.

1 The parties will rely on the liaisons, as needed, to confer about ESI and to help resolve disputes  
2 without court intervention.

### 3 **6. PRESERVATION**

4 The Parties have discussed their preservation obligations and needs and agree that  
5 preservation of potentially relevant ESI will be reasonable and proportionate. To reduce the costs  
6 and burdens of preservation and to ensure proper ESI is preserved, the Parties agree that:

7 a) Only ESI created or received between October 23, 2022 and the present will be  
8 preserved;

9 b) The Parties have discussed the types of ESI they believe should be preserved and  
10 the custodians, or general job titles or descriptions of custodians, for whom they believe ESI should  
11 be preserved, e.g., “HR head,” “scientist,” and “marketing manager.” The Parties shall add or  
12 remove custodians as reasonably necessary;

13 c) The Parties will agree on the number of custodians per party for whom ESI will be  
14 preserved, searched, reviewed, and produced;

15 d) These data sources are not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost  
16 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(2)(B) and ESI from these sources will be  
17 preserved pursuant to normal business retention, but not searched, reviewed, or produced:

- 18 1. backup systems and/or tapes used for disaster recovery; and
- 19 2. systems no longer in use that cannot be accessed.

20 e) Among the sources of data the Parties agree are not reasonably accessible, or not  
21 proportional to the needs of the case, the Parties agree not to preserve, search, review, or produce  
22 the following:

- 23 1. voice messages;
- 24 2. information from hard drives, handsets, mobile devices, personal digital  
25 assistants, and tablets;
- 26 3. automatically saved versions of documents and emails;
- 27 4. video and audio recordings;
- 28 5. deleted, slack, fragmented, or other data accessible only by forensics;

6. systems, server and network logs;

7. random access memory (RAM), temporary files, or other ephemeral data that are difficult to preserve without disabling the operating system;

8. on-line access data such as temporary internet files, history, cache, cookies, and the like;

9. dynamic fields of databases or log files that are not retained in the usual course of business; and

10. data in metadata fields that are frequently updated automatically, such as last opened dates.

## 7. SEARCH

a) The Parties agree that in responding to an initial Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34 request, or earlier if appropriate, they will meet and confer about methods to search ESI in order to identify ESI that is subject to production in discovery and filter out ESI that is not subject to discovery.

b) Each party will use its best efforts to filter out common system files and application executable files by using a commercially reasonable hash identification process. Hash values that may be filtered out during this process are located in the National Software Reference Library (“NSRL”) NIST hash set list. Additional culling of file types based on file header information may include, but are not limited to: Application Package File, Backup Files, Batch Files, Binary Disc Image, C++ File Formats, Cascading Style Sheet, Configuration File, Database File, Dictionary Files, Dynamic Link Library, Event Log Files, Executable Files, Hypertext Cascading Stylesheet, Java Archive Files, JavaScript files, JavaScript Source Code and Class Files, Macintosh Resource Fork Files, MP3 Files, MP4 Files, Package Manager Files, Program Files, Program Installers, Python Script Files, Quicktime Files, Shell Script Files, System or Temporary Files, Thumbnail Cache Files, Troff Files, TrueType Font Files, Video Media Files, Waveform Audio File Format, Windows Cabinet File, Windows Command Files, Windows File Shortcut, Windows Help Files, Windows Metafiles and Enhanced Metafiles, Windows Spool Files, Windows System File. Source code files will be provided according to the Protective Order and not included in custodial data

1 productions.

2 c) A party is required to produce only a single copy of a responsive document, and a  
 3 party may de-duplicate responsive ESI across custodians. A party may also de-duplicate email  
 4 threads and attachments as follows: In an email thread, only the most evolved responsive email in  
 5 a thread will be produced. Where an earlier-in-thread email has a responsive attachment not  
 6 contained within the most evolved responsive email, the most evolved earlier-in-thread email  
 7 containing the attachment will also be produced along with its attachment. An attachment is a file  
 8 associated with an email for retention and storage as a single message unit.

9 d) Nothing in this Protocol shall be construed or interpreted as precluding a producing  
 10 party from identifying, collecting, searching or performing review and analysis, including the use  
 11 of TAR, to determine if a document is in fact responsive to the requesting party's request or is  
 12 privileged or otherwise protected. Further, any document that is in good faith reasonably deemed  
 13 not responsive to the requesting party's request, or is privileged or otherwise protected, may be  
 14 withheld.

15 e) Hyperlinks are not attachments and the extent to which linked content is collected,  
 16 reviewed and produced will depend primarily on whether it independently meets the collection and  
 17 search criteria for this action, the linked content is within the possession, custody, or control of the  
 18 producing party, is responsive and not privileged, and is not otherwise subject to any other  
 19 limitations in this Order. To the extent linked documents are produced, they will be produced in  
 20 the form they exist at the time of collection.

21 f) If applicable, no provision of this Order affects the inspection or production of  
 22 source code which will be collected and made available consistent with the protective order  
 23 governing this case.

## 24 **8. PRODUCTION FORMATS**

25 The Parties agree to produce documents in TIFF file format. If particular documents warrant  
 26 a different format, the Parties will cooperate to arrange for the mutually acceptable production of  
 27 such documents. The Parties agree not to degrade the searchability of documents as part of the  
 28 document production process.

1 **9. PHASING**

2 a) When a party propounds discovery requests pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil  
3 Procedure 34, the Parties agree to phase the production of ESI, including the possibility of rolling  
4 productions.

5 b) Following the initial production, the Parties will continue to prioritize the order of  
6 subsequent productions.

7 **10. DOCUMENTS PROTECTED FROM DISCOVERY**

8 a) Activities undertaken in compliance with the duty to preserve information are  
9 protected from discovery under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(3)(A) and (B).

10 b) Nothing in this Order shall be interpreted to require disclosure of irrelevant  
11 information or relevant information protected by the attorney-client privilege, work-product  
12 doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity. The Parties do not waive any objections to  
13 the production, discoverability, admissibility, or confidentiality of documents and ESI.

14 c) Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed or interpreted as precluding a  
15 producing party from performing review and analysis to determine if a document is in fact relevant  
16 to the requesting party's request or is privileged or otherwise protected. Further, any document that  
17 is in good faith reasonably deemed not relevant to the requesting party's request, or is privileged  
18 or otherwise protected, may be withheld.

19 **11. PRIVILEGE LOG**

20 a) If a party reasonably determines that one or more responsive documents are not  
21 discoverable because it is subject to the attorney-client communication privilege or work product  
22 doctrine, or otherwise not discoverable on the basis of a recognized protection or privilege  
23 (collectively, the "Privileges" and each a "Privilege"), the party shall use reasonable efforts to  
24 produce a log for each document or each category of documents withheld for privilege. A party  
25 may produce a metadata log for some or all of the documents being withheld and the party receiving  
26 the log may request additional information for a reasonable number of documents if it cannot in  
27 good faith assess the basis for the Privilege claim. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a party is not  
28 required to log the following: (1) redacted documents; (2) communications with counsel after the

1 date the complaint in this action was filed; or (3) documents that post-date the complaint and  
2 constitute work product prepared in connection with this action.

3 b) Communications involving counsel or experts for the purpose of this litigation that  
4 post-date the filing of the first-filed complaint need not be placed on a privilege log.

5 c) Communications may be identified on a privilege log by category, rather than  
6 individually.

7 d) For email communications, only the last-in-time email in the chain will be set forth  
8 on the log.

## 9 **12. MODIFICATION**

10 This Order may be modified by a stipulated order of the Parties or by the Court for good  
11 cause shown. Any such modified stipulated order will be titled sequentially as follows, “First  
12 Modified Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard  
13 Litigation,” and each modified stipulated order will supersede the previous stipulated order.

## 14 15 **IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.**

16  
17 Dated: May 16, 2025

COOLEY LLP

18  
19 By: /s/ Kristine Forderer  
20 Kristine Forderer

21 Attorney for Defendant  
22 GOOGLE LLC

23 Dated: May 16, 2025

BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.

24  
25 By: /s/ Neal J. Deckant  
26 Neal J. Deckant

27 Attorney for Plaintiffs  
28

**PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
HONORABLE RITA F. LIN  
United States District Judge



**ATTESTATION**

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(h)(3), I hereby attest that all signatories listed, and on whose behalf the filing is submitted, concur in the filing's content and have authorized the filing.

Dated: May 16, 2025

By: /s/ Kristine Forderer

Kristine Forderer